2020 Kansas Antibiogram

Candida albicans Candida glabrata

Candida parapsilosis andida tropicalis

	Percent Susceptible 2018-2019 Isolates	of Isolates	Aminoglycosides	Rifamycins		β-Lact	ams	Cephalosporins	Folate pathway inhibitor	Flor quinc	uro- Jones	Glycopeptides	Lincosamides	Lipppeptides	Macrolides	Nitrofurans	Oxazo lidin one	Tetracyclines		
		Number	Gentamicin	Rifampin ¹	Ampicillin ²	Oxacillin ³	Penicillin	Ceftriaxone	Trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Vancomycin	Clindamycin	Daptomycin	Erythromycin ⁴	Nitrofurantoin ⁵	Linezolid	Doxycycline		
			6																	
	Enterococcus facieum	676	93°		25					18	25	49		83		31	92			
	VRE (E.facieum isolates only)	83			4							0				50	99			
	Enterococcus faecalis	4,644			99					81	83	98		100		99	93			
	NORTHWEST	606			99					62	63	99				99	98		S	
	NORTHCENTRAL	254			100		<u> </u>			/4	/4	100		100		100	99		ě	
	NORTHEAST '	1,136			100					83	85	100		99		100	97		E∶	
	KC-METRO	1,187			99							96		100		98	75 ⁸		, a	
	SOUTHEAST	327			99					70	75	99		99		100	100		e a	
im Positives	SOUTHCENTRAL	259			99					84	84	98				99	100		Z	
	WICHITA-METRO	624			99							98				100	97		2	
	SOUTHWEST	251			100					91	91	100				98			ر م	
	Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA)	5,913		100		100			98	86	85	100	79	99	65		100	94	Ū	
	NORTHWEST	409		100		100			99	74	76	100	80		71		100	93	93 95 93 95	
	NORTHCENTRAL	121		100		100			99	82	83	100	86		64		100	95		
	NORTHEAST 7	1,690		100		100			98	88	87	100	80	99	65		99	93		
	KC-METRO	2.262		100		100			97			100	79		61		100	95		
Ľ.	SOUTHEAST	339		100		100			97	97	91	100	81	100	72		100	95		
G	SOUTHCENTRAL	97				100			98			100	79		77			89		
	WICHITA	946				100			99			100	76		65			95		
	SOUTHWEST	49		100		100			100	76	77	100	72		55		100	94		
	Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	4,761		100		0			94	42	43	100	65	99	12		100	91		
	NORTHWEST	267		99		0			99	26	26	100	42		8		98	92		
	NORTHCENTRAL	261		98		0			95	45	45	100	71		18		100	92		
	NORTHEAST 7	1095		100		0			98	52	53	100	72		12		100	90	1	
	KC-METRO	1422		100		0			93	33	36	100	68		16		100	90		
	SOUTHEAST	665		100		0			89	33	35	100	59	100	10		100	92		
	SOUTHCENTRAL	97		100		0			98	58	58	100	72	100	7		100	82		
	WICHITA	772				ō			97			100	56		. 11		100	94		
	SOUTHWEST	182		100		0			96	42	43	100	73		12		100	94		
	Coagulase negative staphylococcus	3,494		99		44			66	69	68	100	63	97	34	98	99	82		
	Staph. epidermidis	1,419		98		43			63	61	60	100	60	97	33	97	97	80		
	Stanh hominis	16 11		100		38			77	90	90	100	36		57	100	100	66		
	Staph, Juadenesis	168		100		94			96	99	99	100	83	100	84	100	100	96		
	Staph. saprophyticus	121		100		45			96	99	100	100	72	93	- •	100	100	93	ts	
	Strep agalactiae (GBS)	598					100	99			100	100	46		28		100	15	Se	
	Strep anainosus aroun 12	122					85	96			97	100	90		75			38	Ye	
	Chanter any inclusion group	1 100					71 (01 9	01/04 9	92		00	100	82		56		100			
	Streptococcus pneumoniae	1,109					/1/91 -	91/94 -	02		50	100	02		50		100			
	Streptococcus pyogenes (GAS)	27 **					100	100			96		89		74					
	Streptococcus viridans group ¹³	207					67	96			95	100	72		76			78		
	Corynebacterium striatum ¹⁰	66							13	100		100		100 10			100	15		

		Aminoglycoside			actams	β-Lactam/			Cepha			losporin			apenem		ate path hibitor	Quinolones		nobacta m	ofurans
	S				F				1st 2nd		31	ď	4th	Carb		i E			Mo	Nit	
Percent Susceptible 2018-2019 Isolates	Number of Isolate	Amikacin	Gentamicin	Tobramycin	Ampicillin ¹	Amoxicillin/clavulante	Ampicillin/sulbactam	Pipercillin-tazobactam	Cefazolin	Cefoxitin	Cefuroxime	Ceftazidime	Ceftriaxone	Cefepime	Ertapenem	Meropenem	Trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole	Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Aztreonam	Nitrofurantoin ³
	1	1	1																		
Acinetobacter baumannii	176	83	88	94			94	66				80		79		86	84	89	81		
Citrobacter freundii complex	629	100	92	96				90				83	84	99	99	99	88	95	95	88	93
Enteropacter cioacae	21 972	100	98	98	56	85	63	97	86	92	91	95	9/	94	100	100	92 77	94	90	96	96
NORTHWEST	1 805	99	94	- 75 - 95	59	85	65	98	93	98	9/	91	97	98	100	100	80	86	02 8/1	95	96
NORTHCENTRAL	1,000	99	91	93	61	90	66	98	92	50	54	95	96	99	100	100	79	79	81	94	96
NORTHEAST	11 / 26	100	94	94	52	97	64	97	90	92	91	96	96	97	100	100	80	84	25	97	96
NORTHEAST	7 /01	100	01	02	54	07	61	96	70	55	51	96	02	0/	100	100	75	96	0.0	96	00
SOUTHEAST	3 719	100	91	92	55	87	66	97	85		93	9/	9/	95	100	100	75	78	79	9/	92
SOUTHCENTRAL	1,336	100	92	93	57	07	65	96	90	89	55	93	92	94	100	100	76	79	76		94
WICHITA-METRO	2,865	200	89	90	48		55	94	84	0.5		55	86	92	100	100	73	71	7.0		95
SOUTHWEST	1,758		93	92	55	82	60	96	85				94	95	100	100	73	79	82	91	97
Klebsiella aeroaenes (former Enterobed	576	99	99	100				85				86	86	97	97	100	98	98	98	89	22
Klebsiella oxytoca	792	100	98	97		94	63	91				95	95	97	100	100	94	98	98	95	87
Klebsiella pneumoniae	5,942	100	98	97		95	86	95				97	96	97	99	100	92	96	97	97	48
Morganella morganii	240	100	87	93				98				79	92	97	100	100	74	83	82	96	
Proteus mirabilis	3,385	100	87	87		96	85	98				98	97	97	100	100	74	67	70		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	5,017	97	93	98				92				91		91		94		86	80	91	
NORTHWEST	250	96	93	94				91				90		88		90		81	71	90	
NORTHCENTRAL	174	97	89	99				98				97		91		95		89	86	97	
NORTHEAST 4	1,205	100	92	99				97				92		95		96		90	86	92	
KC-METRO	1,586	95	92	97				90				91		89		90		89	78	91	
SOUTHEAST	344	100	92	98				90				87		89		93		76	73	87	
SOUTHCENTRAL	385		94	99				99				95		93		98		82	82	95	
WICHITA-METRO	1,002		93	98				84						93		96		85		95	
SOUTHWEST	71	100	98	95				91				95		95		92		83	82	95	
Serratia marcescens	491	99	100	95				97				96	94	97	99	99	98	95	96	97	
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	292											45					93		85		
Percent Susceptible	Isolates	Azole	Azoles candir																		
	Number of	Fluconaz	Voriconaz	Micafun		1	-) *	kan	An	tim	leal icro	thc: bial	Re:	As	soci	Adv	Infe	ection G	ons roup	,



 69
 96
 94

 28
 82
 75

Kansas Healthcare-Associated Infections & Antimicrobial Resistance Advisory Group



Methodology

Collection Process and State Representation: Antibiotic susceptibility data was collected amongst 66 Kansas healthcare facilities. One hundred and twenty-three healthcare facilities were contacted regarding institutional antibiograms, of which 66 responded with results. Of the 82 critical access hospitals contacted, 47 provided results, 14 had no antibiogram, 1 had an antibiogram in process not provided, and 20 did not report back to which a second attempt was made. Amongst 51 acute care hospitals, 30 provided antibiograms and 21 did not provide even with re-requests sent out. Of these 77 received, 13 were redundant (i.e., the critical access hospital used the nearby acute care hospital's antibiogram). Two clinics also were able to provide data. The state was well represented, with facilities in each region: 10 northwest, 7 northcentral, 11 northeast (excluding Kansas City metro hospitals), 6 Kansas City metro facilities, 9 southeast, 11 southcentral, 4 Wichita metro, and 8 southwest facilities. Given a relative lack of antibiograms in many critical access and rural clinics compared to their urban peers, we attempted as much as possible to provide regional antibiotic susceptibility patterns.

Antibiogram development: The CLSI guidelines were followed in the aggregation of data from all reported hospital antibiograms. Antibiotic and organism combinations intrinsically resistant or clinically irrelevant were censored or grayed in the antibiogram.

Limitations: The majority of data provided was from reference labs in alignment with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. However, 31 facilities reported back institutional antibiogram forms. Of these, 15 were from tertiary and large acute care hospitals whose labs were confirmed to be in alignment with CLSI. Sixteen forms were from critical access hospitals, of which the reference lab to which this data was acquired were unable to be confirmed was CLSI guidelines. An internal assessment of outliers or implausible data was conducted. Attempts were made to confirm outlying data. As confirmation could not be acquired, this data was excluded. This was a rare event, occurring no more than 4 or 5 times out of the thousands of susceptibility profiles.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the clinical microbiologists who submitted antibiogram data on behalf of their healthcare facility. We would also like to thank our academic partners at the University of Kansas whose infectious disease physicians and infectious disease pharmacists contributed directly to the creation and clinical content of this antibiogram:

Kellie Wark, MD MPH Rachel Weihe, MD Nicole Wilson, PharmD, BCIDP

The KDHE HAI/AR Program is a resource for developing and strengthening Kansas healthcare facilities stewardship activities. Visit https://www.kdheks.gov/epi/hai.htm for more information.

Gram positive table key

Gray beca	 Insufficient data to compile total susceptibility, not tested, or inappropriate for clinical use use of intrinsic use
1	Rifampin to be used in combination only (rapid resistance emergence on single-agent therapy)
2	Ampicillin predicts amoxicillin susceptibility
3	Oxacillin predicts nafcillin, cefazolin, cephalexin susceptibility
4	Erythromycin predicts azithromycin susceptibility
5	Nitrofurantoin only for urinary infections
6	Gentamicin for synergy
7	Northeast region excluding KC metro
8	E. faecalis KC-metro linezolid susceptibility is lower likely as a reflection of greater VRE- faecalis only isolates linezolid susceptibilities reported at 1 over-represented institution
9	S. pneumoniae meningeal / non-meningeal breakpoint rates
10	C.striatum develops rapid daptomycin resistance while on treatment
11	Low numbers of S.hominis and S.pyogenes, numbers <30 may be statistically unreliable
12	Strep anginosus group (anginosus, constellatus, intermedius)
13	Strep mitis group (mitis, oralis, mutans, bovis, sanginis)

Gram negative / yeast table key

Gray : inapp	Gray = Insufficient data to compile total susceptibility, or not tested, or inappropriate in clinical use because of intrinsic use						
1	Ampicillin predicts amoxicillin susceptibility						
2	Ampicillin/sulb. generally predicts amoxicillin/clav. susceptibility (except for A.baumannii which is intrinsically resistant)						
3	Nitrofurantoin only for urinary infections						
4	Northeast region excluding KC metro						
5	C.glabrata has no established voriconazole breakpoint (i.e. current data are insufficient to demonstrate a correlation between in vitro susceptibility and clinical outcome for C.glabrata and voriconazole)						
6	Isolate numbers of C.tropicalis <30, and may be statistically unreliable						
7	For yeasts, data are susceptible-dose dependent; in vivo susceptibility is dependent upon achieving the maximal possible blood level for mucosal and invasive infections						